

REFERAT Studienævn for Den Samfundsvidenskabelige Bacheloruddannelse d. 29-09-2025

Mødedato Mandag d. 29. september 2025 kl. 09:30

Mødested 14.2-023

Indholdsfortegnelse

Members present.....	3
Approval of the agenda.....	4
Approval of last month's minutes.....	5
Diciplinary rules.....	6
Orientation on administration of prerequisites.....	7
Follow up on SIB trip to Youth Island.....	8
Orientation on upcoming study board election.....	9
Evaluation of introperiod.....	10
Grade statistics.....	11
External examiners report.....	12
Lukket.....	13
Drop out analysis.....	14
The current situation at BAL and its impact on Sambach.....	15
Any other business.....	16

Punkt 1: Members present

Beslutning

Present

Student members: Tracy Rona Edefe Akpobasaa (Vice-chair), Charlotte Liin Lund (from 10 a.m.)

Staff members: Helene Dyrhauge, Jørgen Ole Bærenholdt, Lars Fuglsang, Louison Cahen-Fourot

Absent

Svend Flarup, Boyan Volkert Siersma

Visiting

Patrick Jim Hedensted from Study and Career Guidance

Punkt 2: Approval of the agenda

Beslutning

The agenda was approved.

Punkt 3: Approval of last month's minutes

Beslutning

The minutes were approved.

Bilag

Minuts august 2025.pdf

Punkt 4: Dicipinary rules

Nomination

The Study Board is asked to familiarize itself with:

1. the attached guidelines for the use of AI
2. the following rules for disciplinary measures aimed at students at Roskilde University.

The guidelines have been revised in light of input and comments and were both approved by the Rector on July 3, 2025. The rules will be implemented at the start of the semester on September 1, 2025, and will replace the previous rules. Guidelines for heads of study are currently being developed and will be released soon.

Beslutning

The new disciplinary rules and the new guidelines for the use of GAI have now been approved by the Rector and translated into English.

Disciplinary rules

§ 6: "hvis relevant" has been omitted in the translation. Helle asks the Admin to correct this.

The format/typography of the English text is not very "law-like". Helle suggests to Admin that this is changed.

If members of the study board have more comments, they should be forwarded to Helle or Helene.

GAI

The comment was made that the new guidelines seem less clear than the previous ones and they are not as strict as some of the members would like them to be.

The same comment about the format/typography was made about the guidelines.

Bilag

Disciplinærregler - Godkendt af rektor 3. juli 2025.pdf

Regulations on disciplinary measures against students at Roskilde University - Approved 030725.pdf

Retningslinjer for brug af generativ kunstig intelligens ved eksamener 030725.pdf

Guidlines for the use of generative articial intelligence in examinations - effective from Autumn 2025.pdf

Punkt 5: Orientation on administration of prerequisites

Nomination

The Study Board is requested to familiarize itself with the following appendix concerning prerequisite requirements and their administration. The memorandum describes, among other things:

- when prerequisite requirements are acceptable and when they are 'rejected'
- when and under what conditions the US provides administrative support for
 - setting up mid-term evaluations
 - portfolio activities
 - 'active satisfactory participation'.

Please note: Administrative support for planning mid-term evaluations is only offered once (per semester). It is therefore important that supervisors know exactly – and agree to – which day they must set aside for mid-term evaluation. No assistance will be provided for rescheduling.

Support (e.g., for portfolios) must be agreed upon no later than four weeks before the start of the semester. US and T&E. Mette Busch encourage instructors/teachers to contact the relevant study administration colleague at T&E directly to make further arrangements if E25 presents challenges.

Beslutning

No changes from previous years but Admin will only book midterm evaluations within the time slot listed on study- if the time does not fit, they do not take it upon them to organise another time.

Bilag

Administration af forudsætningskrav_Notat_aug25.pdf

Punkt 6: Follow up on SIB trip to Youth Island

Nomination

On 12 September, 1st semester SIB students participated in a study environment trip to Ungdomsøen. The trip was organized by study environment tutor Tracy and SIB-coordinator Johanna Hood. We also had great support and help with the program from volunteers from SAMrådet and Max Webar. The Study and Career Guidance supported the trip financially.

Prior to the summer break, head of studies Helene Dyrhaug and Education Coordinator Sofie Bai decided to create an opportunity for the new SIB students to meet in a both social and academic context, especially since not all SIB-students are able to participate in the intro/rus-period. Tracy came up with the idea of the Ungdomsøen, which the ISE department supported. The programme was designed to support both academic and social integration among the new students.

Beslutning

Tracy gave a resume of the SIB-trip to Youth Island on 12 September 2025.

It was a one-day trip lasting 6 hours, and it was offered since the SIB-students had no RUS-trip. 90 students participated.

Johanna Hood did a project formation workshop in the beginning of the day.

The feedback from the students was that they were positive about the day and that it was nice to take a trip outside campus.

Not all SIB-students participated in the intro-week and the away-day was also a chance to reach out to these students.

Stine Floutrup is expecting a report of the day - Tracy and others are working on it.

Bilag

SIB Ungdomsøen - Program Runsheet.pdf

Punkt 7: Orientation on upcoming study board election

Call for Election:

The ordinary election at Roskilde University 2025 has been announced.

This year, there are elections for the following councils, boards and committees:

- Academic Council (VIP, TAP and STUD)
- Department councils (VIP, TAP and STUD)
- Roskilde Doctoral School Executive Committees (STUD)
- **Study Boards – Bachelor’s Programmes (VIP and STUD)**
- Study Boards - Master’s Programmes (part and full time) (STUD)

You can find the election notification letter and a link to the candidate nominations forms on Roskilde University's intranet here: <https://intra.ruc.dk/nc/for-ansatte/service-til-ansatte/ruc-koncernjura/valgkontor/valgkontoret-informerer/>.

Important deadline:

- The deadline for submitting candidate applications is **9 October 2025 at 12:00 noon**. Candidate notifications must be sent to the Election Office at valgkontoret@ruc.dk
- **Voting starts on 6 November 2025 at 9.00 am and ends on 13 November 2025 at 12.00 noon**

Questions can be directed to the Election Office on valgkontoret@ruc.dk.

Info: Study Environment Tutors Svend Flarup and Tracy Rona Edafe Akpobasaa are preparing a guide to ensure that students know how to submit their candidacy and when to vote.

Beslutning

Orientation on upcoming study board election

Helene has started filling in the candidate form for VIP. All current VIP members are standing again.

The study environment tutors have worked out a simpler presentation of the rules to help students understand the rather complicated rules.

A main issue is the lack of substitutes for absent student members. If students make their candidacy in common (SR, Frit Forum, other) they should tick the priority box to have substitutes. If they make their candidacy alone, they should still have one more student on the list to have a substitute.

Bilag

opstillingsblanket.pdf

Punkt 8: Evaluation of introperiod

Nomination

The Head of Studies will give a quick update on how the intro period went, based on feedback from SogK and RUSK. Afterwards, there will be time to share feedback and have an open discussion about the intro period.

Attached documents may serve as a basis for feedback and discussion.

Beslutning

Helene had a meeting with Thomas Laurmark from S&K and the other HofS. Their conclusion was that, in general, the intro period went well.

Comments

- No cases of offensive behaviour.
- Alcohol was only after 4 p.m. Maybe there could be a clearer cut between programme and free time? Drinking alcohol could be in the Student House?
- There were complaints about dinner but that can be avoided by aligning expectations in advance.
- 8 % say that there is no alternative to alcohol - too many.

The SIB Academic Committee had written a letter to the study board where they propose that it is considered to re-install the RUS-trip for international students.

At the meeting, the students elaborated:

- Better communication of what a RUS-trip is, e.g., a brochure, could help align expectations.
- A one-day festival on a Saturday does not replace a RUS-trip
- Alcohol a too big part of the previous RUS-trips - this should change
- Back on campus, it is nice knowing people - the RUS-trip creates a sense of belonging
- Why separate from Danish students?
- Staying overnight: Always dilemma - some want to. But connected with alcohol, others are scared off.

Conclusion

The funding for the RUS-trip comes from S&K. the Student Council is responsible for organizing the RUS-period and has the official dialogue with the university concerning the development of the RUS-period including the RUS-tour. Ultimately, the decision about the future of RUS-period (including RUS-tour) lies with the university management.

The SIB Academic Committee were advised to contact the Student Council (who is responsible to carrying out the RUS-tour)), so they can present the SIB concerns to Stine Floutrup (head of S&K) who will make a recommendation to to the university management.

Bilag

Evaluering 2025.pdf

SIB 2025.pdf

SAB 2025.pdf

RUStur letter.pdf

Punkt 9: Grade statistics

Nomination

The study board is asked to familiarize themselves with the grade statistics for the activities where exams have been held in connection with the summer 2025 term (F25)

The Power BI report with grade statistics can be accessed at this link: <https://app.powerbi.com/Redirect?action=OpenApp&appId=4e853de3-593c-4305-963b-b4ce76134e34&ctid=5cb8e839-a838-4bb8-92af-d7756c2b7916> .

Beslutning

It seems that more students have failed their exams this spring.

Compared to previous years fewer students have failed BC8 Quant methods.

Concerning the increased number of students failing BC4 economics, Louison elaborated that he has increased the requirements of the exam demanding the students to solve two exercises instead of writing a one-page essay which he finds way below university level. Many students did not show up in class - but took the exam and failed. Louison will make room in the teaching for more in-class exercises and will emphasize the importance of the doing the exercises.

Overall, the grade point averages on SAB were quite high compared to SIB. There was a comment that maybe this is due to the raised admission requirement.

All in all, the grade statistics do not create concern.

Bilag

Summary Grades

Punkt 10: External examiners report

Recommendation

The Study Board is asked to discuss the attached evaluations by the external and internal examiners and consider if they give cause for action by the Study Board. If relevant, comments will be forwarded to the chairmanship of the corps of external examiners (Politik, Samfund og Globale forhold).

Background

The appendices contain information about external examiner evaluations from the corps of external examiners.

External examiner evaluations are used by the chairmanship of the corps of external examiners in their annual report, in the study leader reports and are also part of the Study Boards quality assurance. The evaluations are used to ensure and develop the quality of the programmes. The evaluations cover the summer exams 2025 for the bachelor programme in social sciences.

After each exam, examiners evaluate the exam in Censor-it. The evaluation reports do not contain information about the specific exam (name of the exam, external examiner or examiner). If the study board decides to address issues raised in the evaluations and needs more information about the specific exam, please contact sbai@ruc.dk.

Appendices

- Appendix 1. Summary of external examiner evaluations Summer exam 2025
- Appendix 2. External and internal examiner evaluations Summer exam 2025

Beslutning

The external examiners' reports look fine in general.

Shorter surveys would be nice, but the long ones are due to the fact that the same survey is used for different universities. Thus, some questions may appear irrelevant.

Bilag

Summary 2025.pdf

Censorindberetninger Sambach.pdf

Punkt 11: Lukket

Punkt 12: Drop out analysis

Nomination

The Study Board is asked to review the material in connection with the dropout analysis below. In addition to the analysis itself, which is attached, it is also possible to explore the two Power BI applications. A link can be found below. The Study Board is asked to highlight any matters that may warrant follow-up action

Background

The dropout analysis is an examination of dropout patterns among Roskilde University students admitted between 2015 and 2023. The analysis is conducted every two years and is one of the central studies prepared at Roskilde University. The purpose of the analysis is to contribute to the ongoing efforts to reduce student dropout.

Main Conclusions

The 2025 dropout analysis shows overall that more than half of all dropouts among students at Roskilde University occur during the first year of study. Dropout rates in bachelor's programmes are higher than in master's programmes. Both first-year dropout and long-term dropout in bachelor's programmes are at the same level as at other universities. For master's programmes, however, both first-year and long-term dropout rates are the highest among the universities.

A large proportion of bachelor's students who drop out enroll in a new programme within a year. Just over half transfer to a professional bachelor's programme, while just under half enroll in a new academic bachelor's programme, most of them at another university. Roskilde University has the lowest proportion of students who switch internally compared with other universities at the bachelor's level. A smaller proportion of master's students who drop out enroll in another master's programme within a year, with just under half enrolling again at Roskilde University. This is the lowest proportion of internal transfers compared with the other universities.

[Førsteårsfrafald pr. 1. oktober BA - Power BI](#)

[Langt frafald pr. 1. oktober BA - Power BI](#)

Beslutning

The analysis is quite long and primarily focused on numbers, not on reasons. It is not good reading for RUC.

Although RUC is among the universities with the lowest short drop-out, we are the second highest on long drop-out.

A few reasons are stated e.g., the further you live away from campus the more likely you are to drop out. Also, having a 2. round of admission risks to increase drop-out.

Concerning the long time drop-out at SIB, it can be noted that it is the international students that drop out, not the Danish ones.

Some RUC-dropouts start other programmes at a lower level: university colleges or "erhvervsakademier".

Only few RUC-dropouts swap to another RUC programme. A reason for this may very well be that in that case you would swap main subject area - not just programme. At the other universities they can swap from programme to programme within the same main subject area, e.g., from sociology to political science and thus stay in the same university.

Bilag

Frafaldsanalyse_2025_01.pdf

Punkt 13: The current situation at BAL and its impact on Sambach.

In light of the current situation regarding the discontinuation of admissions to BAL from the summer of 2026, meetings have been arranged with both 1st semester SIB students (on 23 September) and 3rd semester SIB students (on 26 September). The purpose of these meetings is to meet the students where they are, listen to their concerns, and provide space for their questions about the decision. At the meeting leadership, team coordinator Johanna Hood and Helene Dyrhaug attend.

With this in mind, the Study Board is kindly asked to reflect on and discuss the following:

- The possible implications of this decision and the considerations that should be made in relation to SIB students and their opportunities to continue into a Master's degree at RUC.
- How we can ensure that international students feel supported, welcomed, and included at RUC, both now and in the future?

Beslutning

The Dean has been talking to the first semester SIB students in person. Helene later had a meeting with the first semester students. Both reassured the students that they are welcome.

Some of the questions raised by the students:

- Are we welcome?
- Can I get into BAL next year?
- What can we do to support the Bangladeshi students?

The internationals are very concerned. Some of them want to do their master at CBS instead.

The students do not see a connection between internal and external communication and all international students are worried about the external communication - not just Bangladeshi. It will take a lot of very good internal communications to weigh up for the external communication saying "Yes, we made a mistake admitting so many USB students from one country".

Unfortunately, Rector Hanne Leth Andersen has been advised not to change what she said externally due to the tense political climate and pressure against the University. Rector has had several meetings with international students and ISE staff, where she said that international staff and students are welcome. At the meetings she spoke candidly about the political pressure the University has been under

A comment was made that the whole political situation is showing use of authoritarian power. The closing of a programme overnight without consulting the involved staff and students is unheard of and RUC should make more noise about this.

Punkt 14: Any other business

Beslutning

Nothing to report.