

REFERAT Studienævnet for Erhvervs- og Samfundsøkonomi d. 27-02-2025

Mødedato Torsdag d. 27. februar 2025 kl. 09:05

Mødested 25.1-003

Indholdsfortegnelse

Members present (9.30-9.35).....	3
Approval of the agenda (9.35-9.40).....	4
Approval of last month's minutes (9.40-9.45).....	5
Constituting the chairmanship (9.45).....	6
Approval of meetings in F25 (9.45-9.55).....	7
Approval of annual cycle (9.55-10.00).....	8
Lukket.....	10
Admission analysis (10.15-10.25).....	11
Revision of RUC's disciplinary rules (10.25-10.35).....	12
Attendance policy (10.35-10.45).....	13
Lukket.....	14
Orientations from the heads of studies (11.10-11.25).....	15
Any other business (11.25-11.30).....	16

Punkt 1: Members present (9.30-9.35)

Beslutning

In attendance: John Damm Scheuer, Margit Neisig (Teams), David Burgener, Louison Cahen-Fourot, Flemming Sørensen, Mette Apollo Rasmussen.

Minute taker: Andres Wulff Vissing Christensen

Punkt 2: Approval of the agenda (9.35-9.40)

Beslutning

The study board approved the agenda.

Punkt 3: Approval of last month's minutes (9.40-9.45)

Beslutning

The study board approved the minutes from its meeting on February 5 with the comment that it should say "Stefan Thorbjørnsen" instead of "Stefan Sørensen" under item 4.

Bilag

agenda (2).pdf

Punkt 4: Constituting the chairmanship (9.45)

The board are asked to elect a new vice chair among its student representatives.

Beslutning

David Burgener was appointed as vice chair, serving in the chairmanship along with John Damm Scheuer as chair.

David was instructed in the duties of the vice chair.

Punkt 5: Approval of meetings in F25 (9.45-9.55)

It is suggested that the study board's meetings are placed on the first half of each month's last thursday. Specifically, these dates and times:

March 27, 9 - 11.30 am

April 24, 9 - 11.30 am

May 29, 9 - 11.30 am

June 26, 9 - 11.30 am

Closed meetings are placed in the beginning, followed by the open meetings.

The study board members are asked to check if the dates fit with their calendar and discuss alternative dates if needed.

Beslutning

The study board decided to postpone the agreement of the dates of meetings in April, May, and June.

The study board approved the date for the meeting in March: Monday March 24, 9-11-30 AM.

Andres will set up the meeting and invite Maria Duclos, who will be the EØ head of study from April 1.

Punkt 6: Approval of annual cycle (9.55-10.00)

Beslutning

The study board approved the annual cycle.

Bilag

Annual cycle for the study board, 2025-2026, EN.docx

Punkt 7: Lukket

Punkt 8: Admission analysis (10.15-10.25)

Study board members are asked to familiarize themselves with the material on admissions in 2024.

Data presented in the analyses at RUC level, broken down and compared at RUC, department and program level as well as main area, can be accessed here: <https://app.powerbi.com/groups/me/apps/52f94e3e-1768-4096-bfe2-dcf6c2316663/reports/15bcb684-780b-47f5-8ba7-d17f16af98a9/131c59c4d9224cc2c6b6?ctid=5cb8e839-a838-4bb8-92af-d7756c2b7916&experience=power-bi>.

The purpose of the admissions report is to support initiatives and action plans targeted at admissions, recruitment and the ongoing work with quality in the programs at department and program level. Insights from the report can, among other things, be used in the study boards' discussions on admissions, and can thus form the basis for action plans that are included in the annual heads of studies reports.

Beslutning

The study board postponed the item to the March meeting as the members had being presented to the Power BI report from the wrong year.

Punkt 9: Revision of RUC's disciplinary rules (10.25-10.35)

The Study Board is asked to discuss the draft of the revised disciplinary rules and decide whether amendments should be submitted (attachment in Danish).

Process plan for the revision of the Rules on disciplinary measures etc. against students at Roskilde University:

End of January 2025: Vice-deans, Boards of Studies, Directors of Studies and USLT are informed about the process for revising the rules and are encouraged to submit any proposed amendments to US

15 March 2025: Deadline for submission of amendments

May 2025: Proposal for revised rules finalised in US

14 May 2025: Draft rules are presented to the heads of studies at a heads of studies meeting

June 2025: The rules are sent for consultation with heads of studies, study boards and the AR (AR pre-processes the matter in UDDU)

July 2025: Rector approves the revised set of rules

July/August 2025: Students are informed and the set of rules is posted on intra

1 September 2025: The revised set of rules comes into force

The administration's draft (in Danish) is attached.

Beslutning

The study board discussed the suggested rules and made the following comments:

- regarding §5, it seems odd with the nine listed ways of transgressing the exam rules. The study board was unsure that all kinds of ways to break the exam rules were listed. Also, a full picture is also needed to give the students security.
- There should be a link or other explicit reference to the exam rules.
- The disciplinary rules should be clearly conveyed to the students, e.g., via How2RUC.
- regarding the sanction in §16, bullet 3: "Idømmelse af eksamenskarantæne", the study board members did not all agree upon that this would be appropriate to use as it could affect members of the sanctioned students' project group.
- The rules only exist in Danish. They should be published in English too.

Follow up: Andres forwards the study board's comments to the central administration.

Bilag

disciplinaerregler_26.04.21 - RUC.pdf

Punkt 10: Attendance policy (10.35-10.45)

The study board is asked to reflect upon the need for a student attendance policy regarding the programs' courses as well as to continue its deliberations on how to strengthen the student effort at their programs.

Attached are some exam forms used in the INM department for inspiration (email in Danish).

Beslutning

The study board continued its on-going discussion on how to strengthen the student attendance. Among others, the following comments were made:

- The suggested pass/fail exam is not attractive to use as previous experience has shown that students prioritize the courses with grades.
- Further inspiration from other universities is needed.
- The study board expressed support for assignments during the course instead of attendance.
- RUC has fewer lectures compared to other universities.
- The general experience among students is, that they recognize that greater attendance was needed after the course has ended. The study board discussed how to convey this to the students before the course starts.

Follow up: John inquires about other universities' practices, and Andres looks into the future process of revising the exam catalogue.

Bilag

Arbejde med studenterintensitet.msg

Punkt 11: Lukket

Punkt 12: Orientations from the heads of studies (11.10-11.25)

Beslutning

Mette informed the study board about:

- The ongoing process of transferring tasks from Mette to Maria Duclos.
- Problem with large student bodies at the methods courses. The program could offer one more than the current two.
- Dispensations for solitary writers can pose some issues for students not meeting criteria regarding cluster sessions. Also a growing body of students with special needs. To be including as a discussion point in the future.
- Study portfolio: what about personal and sensitive information that is shared with other students? **Follow up:** Andres will look into that regarding technically solving this.
- Plagiarism and use of LLM in ways that are not accepted: there will be a workshop for the students with the library and EAE. In the future, the teachers should also look into how to deal with it more qualified (collectively, at a seminar), that the Urkund-percentage does not always directly translate to plagiarism. The Head of Study should always decide whether to pursue a case of perceived plagiarism.

Punkt 13: Any other business (11.25-11.30)

Beslutning

- The study board decided to maintain the evaluation of Advanced Organisation and Change.
- John and David have been appointed to the RUC UDDU (central educational committee)
- There are a growing annoyance among both teachers and students that students are taking phone calls and talking during the lecturers. There is a continued relevance in informing the students about the code of conduct.