

REFERAT Studienævnet for Erhvervs- og Samfundsøkonomi d. 26-05-2026

Mødedato Tirsdag d. 26. maj 2026 kl. 13:30

Mødested 14.2-023

Indholdsfortegnelse

Members present..... 3

Approval of the agenda..... 4

Approval of last month's minutes..... 5

Follow-up on activity descriptions..... 6

Lukket..... 7

External Censorreports..... 8

Follow up on MA-reform..... 10

Initial discussion on the upcoming BA-reform..... 11

RUC Common Education Rules..... 12

Any other business..... 13

Punkt 1: Members present

Beslutning

VIP

- John Damm Scheuer
- Flemming Sørensen
- Anita Mac
- Margit Neisig

STUD

- Lena Mac Donald

Head of Studies:

- Maria Duclos Lindstrøm
- Nina Torm

Absent:

- Yael Shemi
- Elfide Bayryam Mustafa
- Jiri Hejtmánek

Punkt 2: Approval of the agenda

Recommendation:

Members are asked to review and approve the meeting agenda.

(Any requests for changes to the agenda should be raised before approval.)

Beslutning

The agenda was approved.

Punkt 3: Approval of last month's minutes

Recommendation:

Members are asked to review and approve the minutes from the previous meeting.
(If applicable, members may propose corrections or amendments before approval.)

Appendix:

- April minutes

Beslutning

The minutes from the previous meeting were approved.

Bilag

Referat april ESØ.pdf

Punkt 4: Follow-up on activity descriptions

Recommendation:

The study board is asked to consider if all follow-ups has been done regarding the activity descriptions. Read the attached memo for more details.

Appendix:

- Memo on activity descriptions E26 and F27

Beslutning

A follow-up on the activity descriptions has been conducted. The board had no further comments on this item.

Bilag

Memo-activity.descriptions-E26-F27.docx

Punkt 5: Lukket

Punkt 6: External Censorreports

Recommendation:

The study board is asked to discuss the external examiners reports for EØ and SØK.

NB! There is a lot of appendices, however most of them are 1 page or very fast to read through.

Appendices:

- Censorrapport SØK
- Eksaminatorrapporter EØ - BA KA optælling
- Eksaminatorrapporter EØ - MBA optælling
- Eksaminatorrapporter EØ - pr censor
- Eksaminatorrapporter EØ - pr udbud
- EØ fagmoduler
- Master i Projekt- og Forandringsledelse
- Censorrapporter BAL
- Censorrapporter VL
- Censorrapporter fagmoduler
- Censorrapporter EØ - BA KA optælling
- Censorrapporter EØ - MBA optælling
- Censorrapporter EØ - pr udbud
- Samlet statistik for censor- og eksaminatorrapporter

Beslutning

The Study Board noted that the comments from the external censor reports are largely the same as issues raised previously. In particular, it was again pointed out that there is not enough time allocated for oral examinations connected with the thesis. The board discussed that a minimum of 45 minutes for the oral examination would be more appropriate and requested a discussion with the Vice Dean regarding the currently allocated examination time. The Study Board stated that it is, in principle, in agreement with the external examiners on this matter.

The board also noted recurring challenges related to catering and practical arrangements, especially the provision of water and cups, and emphasized that this should be possible to improve.

Bilag

Censorrapport SØK.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 eksaminatorrapporter EØ - BA KA optælling.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 eksaminatorrapporter EØ - MBA optælling.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 eksaminatorrapporter EØ - pr censor.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 eksaminatorrapporter EØ - pr udbud.pdf

RUC - Den samfundsvidenskabelige bacheloruddannelse (EØ-fagmoduler).pdf

RUC - Master i Projekt- og Forandringsledelse.pdf

RUC - Cand.merc. Business Administration and Leadership.pdf

RUC - Cand.merc. Virksomhedsledelse.pdf

RUC - EØ - HD - Cand.merc. Business Administration and Leadership.pdf

RUC - EØ - HD - Den samfundsvidenskabelige bacheloruddannelse (EØ-fagmoduler).pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 Censorrapporter EØ – BA KA optælling.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 Censorrapporter EØ – MBA optælling.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 censorrapporter EØ - pr udbud.pdf

RUC 2025-26 1 09-02 Samlet statistik for censor- og eksaminatorrapporter.pdf

Punkt 7: Follow up on MA-reform

Recommendation:

The study board is asking a representative from the MA-reform groups to discuss any progress or process regarding the MA-reform.

Beslutning

The board was informed that the new rector has now reviewed both cand.merc. programmes and commented on the work completed in phase 1. A checklist has been returned outlining the requirements that the programmes must meet in order to satisfy the rector's expectations. For both the 75 ECTS and the 120 ECTS programmes, there are no critical issues; the comments mainly concern clarifications and refinements.

The profile of the 120 ECTS programme has been challenged to a greater extent. In particular, there is a need to argue more clearly, through regional labour market analyses, that there is a demand for the profile proposed by the working group. The Study Board therefore recommends that the writing groups receive support in preparing the analyses requested by the rector.

Punkt 8: Initial discussion on the upcoming BA-reform

Recommendation:

The study board is asked to start an initial discussion regarding the upcoming BA-reform. The Chair will give an introduction to the discussion.

Beslutning

The Chair opened an initial discussion on the upcoming BA reform and pointed out the importance of ensuring alignment between the MA programmes and the academic foundation students will receive during the Bachelor's programme.

The Study Board discussed the current broad entry structure, in which students have basic courses for one and a half years, and compared this with a possible alternative model involving a one-year broad foundational structure combined with earlier subject integration. The board also discussed the current 50% rule for projects and questioned whether this proportion remains necessary. One suggestion was that the projects could potentially be reduced to 25% or a similar level. Members expressed differing views on this, and one proposal was to further develop rather than replace the current format.

The board referred to Aalborg University, where alternating semesters have been used, with one semester focusing only on courses and another focusing only on projects. This was mentioned as one possible way to rethink how projects are used within the programme structure.

Punkt 9: RUC Common Education Rules

Recommendation

It is recommended that the Study Board considers the proposed revisions to the Common Rules and submit any comments compiled by ISE.

Background

The departments have had the opportunity to submit proposals for revisions to the Common Rules.

ISE did not propose any changes, but the other departments and the Uddannelsesjura have proposed changes. Appendix 2 contains an overview of the changes.

Appendices:

- RUC Common Education Rules
- Overview of changes

Beslutning

The Study Board welcomed the positive adjustment concerning the Study Boards' responsibility for the programmes and expressed a clear wish to continue with programme-near Study Boards.

The board also requested that the examination time for theses be specified in the common rules, in line with the comments put forward by the external examiners in the censor reports.

Finally, a question was raised as to whether supportive thesis-related activities may still be offered under paragraph 26. The secretary will write to the central administration to clarify this point.

Bilag

Udkast til fælles uddannelsesregler - 2026_endeligt udkast.docx

Skematisk oversigt revision af Fælles uddannelsesregler 2026.docx

Punkt 10: Any other business

Beslutning

There was no other business.