

REFERAT Studienævnet for Den Naturvidenskabelige Bachelor Uddannelse d. 30-01-2025

Mødedato Torsdag d. 30. januar 2025 kl. 10:00

Mødested 28A.1-11 - Mødelokale A1

Indholdsfortegnelse

Approval of agenda..... 3

Approval of minutes..... 5

Lukket..... 7

Lukket..... 8

Lukket..... 9

Orientations from Martin Niss, study leader of NAB/NIB and chair of the study board..... 10

Other announcements or orientations..... 11

Lukket..... 12

Approval of course offerings E25/F26..... 13

Evaluation of the past year's work in the study board..... 14

A.O.B..... 15

Punkt 1: Approval of agenda

Beslutning

The agenda was approved.

Tilstedeværende:

Martin Niss (VIP, SL, forperson), Maya Bolduc Degn-Andersen (STUD, næstforperson), Pratik Shah (VIP-suppleant), Torben Braüner (VIP), Lærke Kønskov Vest (STUD), William Goldring (VIP), Maria Køpke Kjeldsen (udd.koor., ref.).

Afbud:

Pia Nyeng (VIP), Pernille Hansen (STUD), Annemette Palmqvist (observatør, prodekan).

Punkt 2: Approval of minutes

Beslutning

The minutes was approved with amendments to the participant list.

Bilag

Bilag 01-25-02 - Udkast til referat af møde 12-2024.pdf

Punkt 3: Lukket

Punkt 4: Lukket

Punkt 5: Lukket

Punkt 6: Orientations from Martin Niss, study leader of NAB/NIB and chair of the study board

Orientation of allocation

Orientation of email to students regarding the master reform

Beslutning

Martin orientates about the allocation process that has taken place. The first prognosis turned out to be very much out of alignment with reality, which caused issues for the class coordinators, as they did not know, which supervisors that were allocated to them until rather late. The issues have been resolved and more supervisors has been allocated then firstly anticipated. The students have also received an email with an orientation.

An email has been send to the students to inform them about the consequences of the master reform.

Orientation about project group sizes - a student would like to complain about the group sizes and has contached Martin on how to do that. But as no rules have been broken, as it is stated in the common rules, there is not a complain procedure for this. Martin and Maya (vice chair) will meet with the student and here the points of view.

A board member affirm that there are several students at NAB stating that they would prefer smaller groups and understands that that would mean less supervision. The argument made by the students is that, the larger groups makes it more difficult for students to pursue a specific topic they are interested in. It is noted in the discussion that the current group average i 5 persons and if that i reduced to 4, the students would lose several hours of supervision.

Punkt 7: Other announcements or orientations

Orientation of RUC's recruitment plan for 2025

Beslutning

From the student representatives:

Students are reporting that there are several issues with the process of supervisors sending out exam questions to students for the project exam. Students are experiencing that the project groups are given a very different amount of preparation time, as the supervisors are sending the questions out at a number of different days prior to the exam. This results in some project groups having 5 days to prepare for the exam, while others have 3 days, which the students find to be very unfair. It is stated in the study regulation that the students should receive the questions a minimum of 3 days prior to the exam. The student representatives argue that this should be clarified, so that all project groups receive the exam questions exactly 3 days before the exam. Additionally, the students do not believe that the questions are sent out in a randomized manner, as they should according to the study regulation. Students experience that there is a correlation between, which part of the project they were responsible for and which exam question they received.

Other members affirm that supervisors also find the process to be an issue. Several supervisors apply different systems to ensure randomization.

The board also notes that it is an issue, when exam are being scheduled in a room without a screen. This situation is distressful for both VIP and students.

Bilag

Bilag 01-25-07a - Sagsfremstilling - rekrutteringsplan.pdf

Bilag 01-25-07b - Bilag_1_Rekrutteringsplan samlet 2025.pdf

Punkt 8: Lukket

Punkt 9: Approval of course offerings E25/F26

The Study Board is asked to approve the enclosed overview of study activities for E25 and F26 at the bachelor program level.

The overview of the subject module course offering is attached for orientation.

Beslutning

The board approves the course offerings for E25 and F26.

Bilag

Bilag 01-25-09a - Udbudsark E25+F26 (BA).xlsx

Bilag 01-25-09b - Udbudsark E25+F26 (FA).xlsx

Punkt 10: Evaluation of the past year's work in the study board

The Study Board is to evaluate the work of the study board and its meetings of 2024 and discuss topics that could be tackled in 2025.

Beslutning

The board agrees to follow up continuously on experiences with Generative AI in courses and projects.

The associate dean oriented about a discussion from the study board for master educations that had expressed a wish for focussing more on topics and area, where the board actually could make changes and implement initiatives rather than merely approving suggestions from others. The board agrees to pay more attention to areas the board can impact.

The student representatives state that it is very easy to bring up students' concerns in the board and feels that the concerns are always prioritised and welcomed.

The final decision on the master educational landscape as been made, which means that the board can start to discuss how to go about an adjustment of the bachelor programmes. A discussion could include how to ensure progression between BA and MA, should there be more mandatory courses at NatBach, how to structure the subject modules, or what should happen with the elective courses? The board will in the coming meeting try to develop different scenarios for what the future programme could look like. It is suggested to look into if the INM department day could be utilised to discuss a bachelor reform.

Bilag

Bilag 01-25-10 - Overview of discussions in 2024.docx

Punkt 12: A.O.B.

